

May 8, 1989

LB 814

court order that will bring upon us, I believe, much more extreme...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: ...requirements with regards capital construction as has been the case in Texas and in Oklahoma, in Florida, I believe, and I think California is going through...I'm not sure what the states are, but once you get under a court order, all of a sudden you have lost the ability to make the decisions as a legislative body. All of a sudden you are under a court and they don't care how much it costs to meet their mandates. You go down to design capacity and you start building much more extensive facilities than we have right now. We have been able to stay away from that. I would hope that we can continue. I know that Senator Chambers has a lot of things to talk about so I will just end my discussion at this point.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers, yours is the next light, followed by Senators Korshoj and Wehrbein.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I would like to ask Senator Hannibal a question. Senator Hannibal, do you remember Mr. Gunter saying that maybe as early as two years they will have to come back for additional construction because the overcrowding is going to be there?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: He said that was a possibility, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What will stop the lawsuit then? If you're facing the same thing two years from now, what's going to stop the lawsuit at that time that you're trying to avoid by what you're doing here?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: My assumption is we will have one or two ways to go and they will be the same kinds of things that we'll either look at better alternative placements or will need to build more facilities.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Why don't we look at some of those alternative placements now? Since it would be a viable consideration two years from now, why won't it be one now?

SENATOR HANNIBAL: I believe it is.