

May 8, 1989

LB 814

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hartnett is the principal introducer, along with Senator Korshoj, and I would assume it would be Senator Hartnett's prerogative to speak...

SENATOR HANNIBAL: To speak first on each issue?

SPEAKER BARRETT: ...first, if he should so desire.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Okay, so they are going to explain why it is going to be taken out then?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Hartnett.

SENATOR HARTNETT: Senator Hannibal, I think, you know, as I think I mentioned earlier, I think one of the reasons that...one of the lack of knowledge I have is, you know, why it was put in, and I guess I would simply defer to, you know, like on the prison system and so forth, you know, why was that put in, the amount of money, and so forth. I would not speak first, you know, on it at all, I guess. That is a different way.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Well, as long as we are going to argue, it seems to me we could have done that without having any amendment at all.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Well, Mr. Speaker, I think I need a little more clarification from Senator Hall or from Senator Warner or from Senator Hartnett. Now we talked about striking entire sections, and the part that Senator Hall is referring to now, which would be item number 1 on the revised amendment list, strikes five lines, 14 through 18, out of Section 9. There are other items in Section 9 that have funding mechanisms in them, so we are not talking really about the entire section. We are talking only about those five lines, right?

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Dierks, we are specifically talking about striking lines 14 through 18 on page 7.

SENATOR DIERKS: Okay.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Specifically.

SENATOR DIERKS: That is fine. That particular, those