

May 8, 1989

LB 814

and easily divisible on a section by section basis, so that each of the points would be debated and voted on individually.

SPEAKER BARRETT: You are taking Sections 1 through 11?

SENATOR HALL: I think that the way the amendment is drafted, and I have a copy here courtesy of Senator Haberman, it lists, you see on line 5 of the first page of the amendment, the original sections, they're listed 18, 19, 20, and we go down to 21, 25, 29, 34, 36, 37, 38, and 40, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51. I think the reason they are clumped together is because they happen to be programmatic but then this breaks them out according to each individual project, and that is what I would ask the division be, based on that separation. Mr. President, it would allow us to vote on them each individually rather than lump sum which is what I have heard through debate that we have had so far that...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. That was my original question and I didn't phrase it too well, each section individually, and when we get to, for example, Section 3, we would take Sections 18, 19, 20, and 21 individually?

SENATOR HALL: Correct.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: Correct,...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you.

SENATOR HALL: ...my request.

SPEAKER BARRETT: In the opinion of the Chair. I think it would be divisible, Senator Hall. Yes.

SENATOR HALL: Can we just go in order then?

SPEAKER BARRETT: I am sorry.

SENATOR HALL: Could we just go down the line and go in order?

SPEAKER BARRETT: We will start with Section 1, Senator Hall, on page 7, strike 14 through 18, correct?

SENATOR HALL: That's...I don't think would be...