

for that. Games are being played, but I won't be a party. This is wrong, it's absolutely wrong, but it shows the lengths to which people will go. So let them do whatever they want to do here today. Let all of this be a matter of record and it won't matter because when the issue is raised again they'll just flip-flop and go the other way as they did this morning on the other issue. But, as Senator Schmit said, we've got quite a few days before us when you look at it in one way, but very few if you look at it in another.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time. Senator Labeledz, would you care to speak to the motion to overrule?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Yes, I do, Mr. President. I can recall when the reconsideration motion came up. The very next day we were still on the Lindsay amendment. I was always under the impression when you're on an amendment you have to wait for the priority motion outside of the bracket motion to the very next, after the Lindsay amendment, it was either defeated or accepted, that you could come in with a priority motion. Evidently there is some misunderstanding as to whether or not that could have come in while we were debating the Lindsay amendment which had several, several amendments to the amendment and, Senator Chambers, I believe you had a couple and Senator Ashford and Senator Bernard-Stevens. The reconsideration motion at that time was to be considered immediately after the Lindsay amendment was adopted, and I would relinquish the rest of my time to someone that has been here much longer than I have and has possibly better reasons than I to believe that this reconsideration motion is correct and the overruling of the Chair is something that I would never support regardless whether it was Senator Chambers, Senator Ashford or Senator Bernard-Stevens' motion. I think it is correct. We waited until the Lindsay motion, amendment, was adopted. Furthermore, Senator Chambers, you and I both know that it's not printed in the Journal unless the person that is making the motion requests that it be done. Evidently it was not printed because Carson Rogers did not request it to do so. But another thing that I would like to mention too. You will notice that when my bill is up, especially LB 769, on several occasions I have walked over to the Clerk and asked what amendments have been submitted and this amendment was there the whole time. Any one of you could have gone up there and asked if there was any motions other than the amendments and you would have found that the reconsideration motion was filed the very next day and then we'd been on the