

May 8, 1989

LB 769

SENATOR BERNARD-STEVENS: Mr. President, if it was filed the next day, which Senator Carson is alleging, and I certainly have no reason to disbelieve Senator Carson Rogers, then why was that not a priority motion to be offered during the time, during the proper time? If it's a priority motion today, it would have been a priority motion the day it was offered. And since it was not offered at that particular time period, I would then state that the motion has not been officially offered until today to which it is offered as a priority motion, to which it would be an out of order because of that...the rules we have on that particular page.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Senator Labeledz.

SENATOR LABEDZ: I believe we had a motion immediately after that to adjourn and then the very next day Senator Rogers did put the amendment in and I would ask the Clerk, haven't we been on Senator Lindsay's amendment since then? I think before we adjourned there was an amendment. Please...

PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Senator, I'd have to go back and reconstruct the record. I don't remember. We have spent considerable amount of time on Senator Lindsay's amendment, but when that came up as opposed to the suspension motion I'm not exactly...

SENATOR LABEDZ: And there was not time during the...Lindsay's amendment that we could have reconsidered that because we were on the Lindsay amendment the next day when that reconsideration motion was filed. Otherwise, I would have insisted that it had been done long before this.

PRESIDENT: The record shows you moved that on May 3rd.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Reconsideration was the next day.

PRESIDENT: Yeah.

SENATOR LABEDZ: The 4th.

PRESIDENT: It looks like it.