

therefore, I make the motion to bracket the bill. I don't think it is a good bill. I probably am not going to vote for it anyway, but it seems to me that a compromise worked on over the lunch break isn't the way we should be establishing long range or permanent tax policy in the State of Nebraska. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Let the record show that Senator Haberman had some guests in our north balcony that I believe have just had to leave, seven seventh and eighth graders from District 32 in Arthur with their teacher. Are you people still with us or did you have to leave? There they are. Stand up and take a bow. Thank you. We are pleased to have you. Also Senator Hannibal has 28 first and second graders from Kopecky Montessori in Omaha with their principal and teacher. Are you folks still with us? Please stand and be recognized. Thank you. We are glad to have you as well. Discussion on the motion to bracket. Senator Schellpeper, would you care to speak to it? Thank you. Senator Conway. Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I probably will support LB 84 in the Final. As to the bracket, I probably have no problem and I will support that until we do get a few more appropriation bills and so on. I, somewhat for the record, I am sitting here, I guess that when I go out to the country and I see how terribly dry it is and what has happened out there, I am concerned in giving away or giving back this amount of money. I am also concerned for the middle income or the taxpayer that paid this in. I guess somewhat I look at a young farm couple that may or may not own any property at all and I will just give my boy as an example. Bought nothing but some used equipment last year, some that had finally, almost completely given out, and his sales tax alone was close to \$1,500 just on the major purchases, let alone adding in all of the other repair parts and so on and so forth. So it is not necessarily a benefit to someone like that. He does get his son educated, of which it comes from real estate tax. This is something that I wish the body would note. I received from the Hall County ASCS Office the amount of payments, now this is interesting, and when you talk about future income, 1987, and I am not talking about commodity loans because that can vary considerably, but disaster deficiency, cost share, conservation reserve, and so on, the payments in the office in 1987 was \$20,121,000. In 1988, it is \$11,666,000. Do you know what that tells me? That the revenue forecast may or may not be near what it is anticipated. I guess that I personally would like to see some property tax relief,