

who know Don Hanway, I knew him as a teacher and others in here I know also did, know that what he wrote is exactly how it is and was. And so there wasn't any error. It wasn't faulty workmanship. Yes, the funding was less because of a veto. And it's been suggested to me, when I think back, it's probably right that during the early middle seventies there seems to be a number of facilities that didn't quite work out and I suspect, and I haven't gone back and checked, but I suspect quite a bunch of them were vetoes and they were underfunded. But, in this case, it wasn't because of the underfunding that the material didn't stand up as well as it might have. I gather from Mr. Hanway's letter that while everyone seemed to believe that this kind of covering would be appropriate, and those who were experts in building the greenhouses were comfortable with it, in fact, it did deteriorate. Now the Appropriations Committee has, however, only recommended a million dollars for initial...to do a part of the work. The original request, I believe, was 2.9 million and that was subsequently reduced over a number...which also included some expansion of additional greenhouse, lengthen, as it was indicated they were made shorter in the first place. I suspect that we were at the point where we were aware that there was substantial capital construction already approved. Several of the projects had a smaller...lower...smaller dollar amount with lesser square footage than was requested. But we also recognized that some immediate work needed to be done on these facilities in order to sustain some of the research work that was being done. Obviously, a million seven will do it better. I will not support the amendment because I feel that we at least made an effort to do part of it and perhaps next year more can be completed. But there is no question about the need as is so often true of virtually every request that the Legislature receives. So I would hope that you carefully consider the cumulative dollars altogether that will count and perhaps other bills will not be passed and then there will be room for it. I simply...none of us know that yet. But the basis for doing the level that we did was at least to provide...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR WARNER: ...some correction in the problems that they are facing and then, secondly, to try and keep the total capital construction, which is one-time money, which is a good time to do it under the circumstances that the state is in, but to keep it within some reasonable total.