

May 4, 1989

LB 769

in man, and I will add, and woman. You see through all these subterfuges. You see through all of the fronts and all of the shams and all of the pretenses. These people have no concern for the young woman or her health. There is no logical or rational argument that can be given against the amendment that I am offering, especially when it comes from language that they, themselves, fought to have put into the law...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and at the time said it was necessary, and it was to show this compassion. It was to cover the situation where a woman might be in grave risk of harm to her health but her life may not be threatened, and now they change because they feel the political climate has altered and they become masters of expediency in the best tradition of Niccolo Machiavelli. The end justifies the means, and since the end is to make it as difficult and arduous for a woman in this situation to obtain an abortion, any means that you use to get there is justified, even if it entails serious, immediate, grave risk to her health. Do they care? No. Do I, the heathen, care? Yes. Why would a heathen care what the deeply religious don't care? Because I am not encumbered by the baggages of dogmas and doctrines and traditions. I can observe the human condition and feel great sympathy and compassion for those who are faced with these problems.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It can never confront me. I will never be pregnant but I can sympathize with those who do, and our job is to show in our legislation that...oh, did you say time, Mr. Chairman?

SPEAKER BARRETT: I did, sir.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I am sorry. I didn't mean to go beyond that.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The question is the adoption of the Chambers amendment to the amendment. A call of the house has been requested. Shall the house go under call? Those in favor vote aye, opposed naye. Record.

CLERK: 17 ayes, 1 nay to go under call, Mr. President.