

and members, I think that...well, I certainly support the Senator Chambers amendment here and it is consistent with what I started out by saying at the beginning of this debate that there are portions of 28-347 which are...should appropriately remain and that is one of the problems with repealing the whole thing at this point. This clearly, I think we could probably all agree, I don't see any reason why we shouldn't want a provision that allow the physician to act if there is an "immediate threat and grave risk to the life or health of the pregnant minor." I think we are taking, we are going, if we don't adopt it, we are taking a provision which is constitutional and in current law and getting rid of it for...at least I haven't seen any showing why we should keep it...why we should get rid of it, I mean it just makes good common sense that a physician should be able to act when there is an emergency. And so with that, I would give the remainder of my time to Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Approximately three minutes, three and a half.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, what I have tried to do throughout my discussion of this bill, and it has been an arduous exercise, is to point out the inconsistency in the presentations of those who say they are for this bill. They keep telling us that they are concerned about the woman or the girl who is faced with this problem. When an amendment was offered to give information to these women who would be covered by this bill, notifying them of their rights, those compassionate people supporting this bill defeated that amendment because they felt the woman should not be notified of what her rights are. When I offer an amendment that goes directly to protecting the health of the woman involved, these compassionate caring religious people say, no, we don't want that amendment, we don't want her health protected. And then they want to stand up and say, "It is unfair for those who oppose this bill to say we are people without compassion." Jesus said you know a tree by the fruit it bears. You don't listen to the noise that is made by the wind blowing through the leaves because wind blowing through the leaves of any tree might sound the same. If you know what a pear is, and I hold it up to you, and you don't see anything approximating a tree, you can tell me what the tree is that produced it because you know what the fruit is. So people can talk about compassion all they choose, when we look at their deeds, we know what they are. There was a point where Jesus said he had no need, or they said of him, that anyone testified to him of man for he knew what was