

death, and they can't understand how you can do that. No, and I will explain that to them, but I have got a question for the 48 other members of this body. I want them to answer a question of mine, and then I will answer that first question. What are we, as a body, doing in here debating this issue when we have proven over and over that we are just not ready for this issue? We have killed three days on it. We are wasting a lot of time on it and it is not going to go anywhere. The best motion we had was to bracket it until next year but we go on and on with these amendments, and I want one of the 48 to tell me, what are we doing messing with this bill? Senator Chambers.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Approximately four minutes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, I am going to talk about my amendment and not answer Senator Korshoj's question. Senator Rogers, it would be unfair if he made a condition of my getting his time that I answer his questions but he didn't do that. Members of the Legislature, I am not going to ask a series of questions now, and by using that method, maybe what I was trying to get across came out somewhat disjointed and incoherent, but let me state it if I can. Senator Lindsay is offering an amendment that would strike two sections, two provisions out of existing law because they were a part of a lawsuit ruled on by Judge Urbom. Since he enjoined the operation of those two provisions, Senator Lindsay is offering an amendment to strike them from the existing law. There is a third provision which he is striking that was not touched by Judge Urbom's decision because that provision was found to be reasonable, and what the provision says is that this section, the ones that we are talking about and the provisions in it, shall not apply when an emergency situation exists where continuation of the pregnancy provides an immediate and grave risk to the life or health. We have had people telling us who support this bill that they have compassion, that they are concerned for the young women who are covered by this bill, but here we have a situation where under the circumstances, that a doctor would evaluate, there is a grave and immediate risk to her health and they would want to say that that does not justify in dispensing with the prior notice. I think that is very harsh and I think it is very unfair, and it is difficult for me to grasp when the same people who are pushing this bill now are the ones who got the provision I am trying to rescue into the law in the first place. I would like to ask, Senator Lindsay, and if you want to speak in Senator Labedz's mike, then you won't have