

and move forward with 769? I mean just exactly how much...I understand the 24 hours, I understand the one parent, and I understand the part that I still feel...I feel very truly sorry for the girls and somewhat the harassment that the original bill brought, but how much difference is it?

SENATOR LABEDZ: First of all, Senator Nelson, I did not introduce LB 769 on behalf of any organization whatsoever. I am not a member of any organization. I was Chairman of the South Omaha Christians for Life, I am not longer...we're no longer in existence. It's the Christian Action Council. I introduced LB 769 on behalf of the parents and on behalf of the young lady that is going to have an abortion, and not for anyone else, for my own belief. As far as the section that you're concerned about, we're replacing the section that is going to be repealed by Senator Lindsay's...I was under the impression that that section was under an injunction and it was declared unconstitutional by the court. In other words the section that you're referring will be repealed by Senator Lindsay, and Senator Lindsay may be able to add to that. But I really resent the fact that you think that I introduced LB 769 on behalf of anybody else but myself.

SENATOR NELSON: I appreciate the comments. I do serve on Judiciary Committee and it seemed a little obvious, but I could be wrong. I maybe should ask Senator Lindsay then, or I'll wait until his amendment comes. I guess I didn't really get an answer, but you would prefer LB 769 over and above the statutes then, or you wouldn't have introduced it, right? Senator Labedz. Right? Yes, I suppose you truly feel that 769 is much better than the bill that is on the statutes, or you would not have introduced it, right?

SENATOR LABEDZ: It's identical to the Minnesota law, which was the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals that declared it constitutional, and LB 769 is practically identical, with the exception of the one parent. And...

SENATOR NELSON: That didn't really answer my question. I know what the Minnesota law is and the questions on it and the judicial process and so on. But why is it so much better than our Nebraska law?

SENATOR LABEDZ: Because our law has been declared unconstitutional. LB 466, that I introduced and I don't recall