

(inaudible) about the bill. And I guess that I would just have to say this, I do not know sometimes if a bill is properly drawn or not. I do not know if it is correctly phrased, if the language and the identification, definitions are proper. But I know it has been said before by Senator Labeledz, and I'm going to support this amendment, but I really have to conclude much along the lines of Senator Chambers, that the bill doesn't need any more amendments. I'm willing to take it just as it is because this bill, and I want you to listen carefully, is word-for-word the Minnesota statute, the Minnesota statute which has been upheld by the Eighth Circuit Court. That is the same court, the same court that is going to look at this bill, if it ever gets that far. I have stood on this floor many times and argued about a bill and in support of a bill which do not have that kind of prior approval, and we all have. So, therefore, from the standpoint of whatever the language says, however poorly it's drawn, if it's good enough for the Eighth Circuit Court it's good enough for old Schmit. And I would just hang my hat on that, and I wouldn't go any farther. Now since I haven't spoken on this bill in the past, we heard many times the argument about the women's right to do with her body what she sees fit, and that is a right which most of us would agree with most of the time. But I'm just going to suggest that Senator Chambers, because I don't think he's a licensed pilot although he can do about anything he wants to do, but if Senator Chambers and I are cruising along in an airplane or helicopter and I decide that I'd had all of this old world that I wanted to, and I said, Senator Chambers, I'm going to let this airplane crash, I think all of a sudden Senator Chambers says, wait a minute, Schmit, hold up a bit, I'm not ready to go yet; you may have decided you've had a belly full of this world and it's overwhelmed you and you're going to let this helicopter or this plane crash, but I don't want to go; so, if it's all the same with you, would you please put the bird down and let me off and then do with yourself what you will. Now that's a rather poor analogy, but it's the only one I can think of right at the present time when you come to the prospect of saying a woman can do what she pleases. The child can do what she pleases. Based upon information, based upon knowledge, based upon consent I think it's time that we recognize that there are times a second opinion might be of some value. I don't like to bring in something else which is somewhat of a sensitive nature, but it's amazing to me that a 15 or 16-year-old young lady is supposed to be able to make the decision relative to the bearing of a child without any advice, without any consent, without anyone giving