and the amendments have to do with the sections not dealing with that, and I said, consent again Senator Labedz points out, and it is not a consent bill. It is notification. I stand corrected. Thank you. Most of the discussion has taken place on the judicial bypass and what exceptions are there going to be and so on, and I find it an interesting contradiction because an amendment was drafted, a group of senators were talking one day, an amendment all of a sudden emerged and it hasn't been introduced yet that would simply have made it a parental consent bill and taken out all the judicial bypass and simply made it pure and simple a parental consent bill. I will rephrase that, a parental notification bill. But interestingly enough, any such amendment that would simply make it a parental notification bill would be blatantly unconstitutional because the Supreme Court has said you need to have judicial bypasses. So there we go putting back in a judicial bypass, and what I think the Chamber has gotten itself into, and I agree with you, Senator Robak, I am not going to change anyone's minds on this, but maybe someday, or some tonight or tomorrow somebody will think a little deeper in their heart and conscience and maybe they will be troubled a little bit by what they are doing. What the body is, in fact, saying, is, yes, we agree with parental notification. We really don't like the provisions that we see in the bypass, but we have to do the bypass in order to get parental notification, so we will go ahead and pass the judicial bypass and the exceptions even though we know it is terrible, even though we know this hole is big enough that will make everything we are trying to do worthless, we will go ahead and do that because we want parental notification. I think if any of you were in a classroom and your students were going through this thought process and you were asking them to do what was right and logical, I think you would be smiling and shaking your heads and saying, now wait a minute here, why are you doing this? What are the ramifications, and so on? But we have a motion before us by Senator Labedz to suspend the rules to get to a vote on it, and I understand that motion. In fact, in previous times I probably would have used that motion myself on certain issues. In fact, I think I may have on LB 48 at one point. But the more I am in the body, the more I realize that that is not a good thing to do. This issue, as everyone has said, divides people apart. This issue divides the Legislatures apart throughout the land. This issue divides our people apart. This is a complicated issue. The amendments that are being offered I believe are offered in good faith. This is not the kind of issue to say, let's move it to the next step and we will