

demonstrate that. Remember, even if you go to the section of statute that Senator Labeledz included by reference in this bill, there is no time frame. Before you can convict somebody of a crime, you have to prove intent. If you tell me that I must pay my taxes and if I don't pay them, then I'm guilty of a crime, you have to set a time period by which I must pay the taxes so that you can determine when the criminal act occurred. That's why they tell you by April 15th your taxes are paid or other points of the law are triggered. You say that a person must notify the authorities but you don't say when. So I say, I think you should notify them that day, and somebody else says, I think you should notify them next week. And somebody else can say, well, I see a law where certain requirements of reporting have to be done quarterly, as Senator Korshoj mentioned, or annually as our accountability commission laws require us to do. And there is no provision in this law saying when any notification has to be given. So we all have differences of opinion and when reasonable people...and you have a prosecutor, a former prosecutor, who is on this criminal law who knows better, if reasonable people can disagree as to the meaning of words in a criminal statute and innocent conduct can be punished, that statute is unconstitutional for being overbroad and vague and he knows it just as sure as he is sitting there and he would not have written a bill like this himself. He knows it but he can't acknowledge it. People have put themselves in a trap. Sometimes when you weave a very strong net, you catch yourself in it and you can't get out. You dig a hole very deep to fall...for somebody else to fall into it, then when you fall in you can't get out. This is revealing the incompetency of those who did the drafting and the disingenuousness of those who are supporting it. They know better. We are creating a bill that encourages people to lie and we know that but the church wants it. And I don't care how angry you get, that's where the bill came from and that's who wants it and that's why it's here with such tenacity on the part of those who claim to be supporters of it and they know better. When your intellect has to take a back seat to some commitment you have made, you're intellectually not honest. And the reason I am making that charge is because I have talked to various ones of you on other issues at other times and I know what you profess your beliefs to be. I know, based on the way I have dealt with you in the past, so if my judgment is incorrect, it means that you have deceived me in the past in your dealings with me. My judgment is in accord with my past dealings with you. I think you ought to strike these words. You don't say