

whatever reason, and penalizes them and we don't give them the education. So it does not stop abortions. If that be the...if that be the case, if you look at the Minnesota experience, the judicial bypass provision was totally ineffective. It didn't do anything to stop abortions. Notification of parents requirement is not going to stop abortions. I think...I think we have hit at the essence. If we had some education, if we had some requirements for education, if we required the doctor to inform the young woman about her rights, maybe we would have something to talk about. But we're not even willing to talk...to do that. And without that, quite frankly, we have a meanspirited piece of legislation and at least if we adopt the Chambers amendment, we've got a little bit better chance at not penalizing that young woman any further. So I would renew my support and I would give the rest of my time to Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Ashford. Members of the Legislature, Senator Lindsay made a comment that disturbed me. He said that when you require the doctor to talk to the authorities, you can get the woman out of the home where there might be abuse. Senator Lindsay, are you aware that there are abused women who stay with abusive husbands for a number of reasons, one of which is that they have no place to go? He is not here. But that's something that we hear constantly when these matters are brought to our attention. Children may not want to be taken out of the family setting because they could have siblings.

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The nonabusive parent could mean a great deal to them. And it's easy for somebody to stand on this floor and say, well, you take them out of the home, he will never confront that. He doesn't know anybody who has confronted it so he doesn't care, just get them out of the home and that solves it because it's like sweeping a problem under the rug. If you listen to some of the insensitive things being said here today, by people who ordinarily are reasonable and intelligent in their remarks, you will see the plight that they see that they have gotten themselves into. But here is what I want to ask Senator Labeledz. And, Senator Labeledz, this is not going to be an argumentative question and I'm going to ask it as gently as I can. If my amendment is not adopted and notification of the authorities must be given by the doctor, when must he give that notification?