

May 2, 1989

LB 769

all want so badly. And that word gets kicked around, but that's what it boils down to, if we have respect for each other and children need to learn that early,...

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...to respect each other for what we are as people. This mentality of the one-night stands and the hit-and-run mentality is no good, we have to get away from that. We have to not allow everybody to be influenced by these television shows and movies that make that look so inviting, it is not inviting. All it does is hurt young women and men, too, the boys figure in this, too. If they have gotten a girl in trouble, as we used to say, they're going to carry that around with them for the rest of their lives, especially if they don't live up to the responsibility. And that is what I'm coming down to here at the end of my little spiel here, along with our feeling that the children, as Diana called them, and they are, they're children,...

PRESIDENT: Time has expired.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...if they have babies, then we must find ways to help them take care of those babies, the Title XX money, or whatever it takes. And society must face up to that. So I'll vote against the bracket, I'll probably vote against all the other amendments. I'd like to be able to vote for 769. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Labedz, please, followed by Senator Chambers, and then Senator Schimek.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I'll be very brief. I strongly oppose Senator Lynch's motion to bracket LB 769 until January. And I also want to remind Senator Ashford that the Hodgson v. State of Minnesota was with the Eighth Circuit Court, and it's the same court that, if there is a challenge of the constitutionality of LB 769, it will be handled by the same court, and that is why I've been struggling so, fighting off the amendments to LB 769, because I certainly don't want any amendment that would possibly make LB 769 unconstitutional. So, I...And I do want to bring into my statement now, I don't know how many of you read the Daily Nebraskan just the other day, on April 25, 1989, and I won't read the whole article to you, but it's just ironic that that should happen now when we're debating