

that attitude with the discussion of this amendment.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Mr. Clerk, I understand we have a priority motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, I do. Senator Lynch would move to bracket LB 769 until January 1, 1990.

PRESIDENT: Senator Lynch, please.

SENATOR LYNCH: Mr. President and members, this is my day to get in trouble, I guess, but I am serious about this motion for a number of reasons. It will take 25 votes. I would like to vote for this bill. I am a co-sponsor of this bill, but I have problems because of an amendment I thought, which was rather innocuous, actually, added another section and complimented Section 3 of the bill by simply providing a process to, in fact, compliment Section 3 of the bill. I think in Nebraska and anywhere else in the country, given the great controversy now obviously taking place at the Supreme Court, that it would be in our and it would be in probably everybody's best interest to know what happens before we make any more moves in this regard in this state or any other. I don't like, and, in fact, I almost resent the fact of being forced into a position to vote on this bill without having that simple amendment I offered before. But, most importantly, until the Supreme Court has taken a further action to affirm Roe-Wade or not, it will not change whether or not parents should have a right, but it could certainly change how, in fact, we could write this legislation to make it work, and I think that is only...and the only reason I would like to offer this amendment. I think it makes some sense. It would give us a chance, during the summer, to better understand the circumstances involved with this legislation. I have to add again, I guess, that I am terribly concerned. I talked with a number of people over the weekend, including my own pastor and others. I guess they all would agree with me, probably with most of us here, that we don't, in fact, live in the perfect world, that this girl, any girl who was pregnant because of a relation, a father, a stepfather, anybody else, really wouldn't be served by this legislation at all. I think the fact that there are single parent families, there are brothers raising brothers and sisters, and sisters raising brothers and sisters, there is a lot the bill doesn't say. It is almost a message in a way. It satisfies people who feel very strongly about right to life into thinking that maybe, if