

May 2, 1989

LB 813

SENATOR HABERMAN: So what you're saying then when the words here say, "for facility maintenance", it's not to use to maintain the building? It's just, say, for utility costs? Is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: The maintenance would be so there is not deterioration from no heat...

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, can they go in and actually start to remodel or to do things like that to help the building?

SENATOR WARNER: It's not my understanding that there was repair of the facility. I assume if a glass got broke, that might be fixed, but, no, no general repair. It was utilities and...

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, what I'm leading up to, Senator Warner, is maintenance is a loose word and I'm trying to pin down just exactly what we're going to use the money for. As we started out with a \$30,000 appropriation for a study, now we're up to \$50,000 for a study and I'm trying to find out just exactly what the money is going to be used for when it says maintenance.

SENATOR WARNER: Senator, it's my understanding, and I appreciate your asking the question because the record will clarify my understanding, it is not to be used for work on the building but rather to provide the utility cost and someone as a...as a guard, you know, to guard the facility and that's the kind of maintenance that is referred to.

SENATOR HABERMAN: May I have a question of Senator Ashford, please.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Ashford, would you respond?

SENATOR ASHFORD: Yes, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Ashford, is it the intent to change this into a state park?

SENATOR ASHFORD: No.

SENATOR HABERMAN: It will not become a state park, fine.

SENATOR ASHFORD: I don't believe so, that's what the study is intended to determine.