

discussed at a later date, and I rather suspect that the lawsuits that are filed find something unconstitutional about the current distribution, it may well be that the concept as contained in these amendments would satisfy any constitutional problems that in lieu of tax payment has. I can be very appreciative and understanding of the reasonableness that 143 percent would be used to valuation, instead of 100 percent in order to compensate governmental subdivisions, aside from schools, because the land is not on the tax rolls. But, of course, even if it's reasonable, if it's not constitutional it doesn't really make much difference, and I rather suspect it's not constitutional. So I offered the amendment and handout to perhaps clarify a little bit of the history of in lieu of tax payments, and perhaps address what I suspect may be the real issue, if there is a constitutional one, and that is whether the valuation should be 100 percent of the school...the money in effect is only going to the schools, or if something different might be appropriate. With those comments, Mr. President, I would ask to withdraw both amendments for General File, but should the bill be advanced I may want to consider them more seriously on Select File when people have had an opportunity to see and review all the information.

PRESIDENT NICHOL PRESIDING

PRESIDENT: Just a minute. Senator Lamb, please. Senator Lamb, excuse me. I was distracted and I didn't hear what Senator Warner had said. You said that you withdrew them, is that right? Okay. So we have a new amendment coming up. Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Haberman would move to amend. Senator, I have your AM1484.

PRESIDENT: Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Yes, Mr. Clerk. I will withdraw those at this time and then refile them on Select File, for Select File.

CLERK: All of them, Senator?

SENATOR HABERMAN: All of them, yes, all 15 or 20 of them, yes. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: They are withdrawn.