

cared about the children and the families and that many times she has children with her up till ten o'clock at night or even later because many of these women have variable hours. They might work late in the afternoon or the evening. So she really is dedicated to the children and is not receiving enough reimbursement actually to keep ahead. So I ask you, as you consider this appropriation, keep in mind those children and our values and what we expect of people and try to have a little charity in your heart. That's what it is all about, when we come to money like this you have to think in a charitable way. And I'm talking about charitable love when I say that. So thank you very much for listening to me. I will tell you one more quick thing. Cedars Home in Lincoln, three or four years ago, along with the University of Nebraska, started a day care center for women who are on ADC and are starting at threshold jobs, beginning to learn a job and this day care is free. That's the only organization that I know of that gets no government money or anything that has that kind of service. And I would hope maybe it would be a model for the rest of the state but these things don't happen overnight. So, in the meantime, one way or another we must take care of these children. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Warner. Senator Warner, followed by Senators Ashford and Wesely.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, it's been indicated that the amendment is going to be withdrawn and worked out later and that I certainly agree with. But there is something else I just would like to comment on in general and I probably will refer to it, as some other amendments come up, more often because it's a trend that I see having started in recent years and it seems to be accelerating. And what we have tried to do in committee is where we see a dual request, that is a request through the appropriation process submitted through the budget and then legislation introduced by individual senators and referred to the appropriate committee that essentially does the same thing. And we, generally, as has been indicated, tried to take the position of two things, number one is to hold a...add a dollar amount for A bills and then, secondly, not to attempt to compete in the fact, if that's the word I can use, with another committee having substantive legislation dealing with the same thing and it's probably better policy if that expansion of a program can be retained in one committee. And, certainly, that was the case, as has been pointed out, in this one that there was a vehicle to address the