

requested. Clear the board, Mr. Clerk. Shall the house go under call? All in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record.

CLERK: 13 ayes, 1 nay, Mr. President, to go under call.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The house is under call. Members outside the Chamber, please return, the house is under call. Members, return to your desks and record your presence, please. Members, return to your desks. Senator Abboud, please record your presence. Senator Lynch, please. Senators Baack and Wesely. Senator Haberman, the house is under call. Senator Haberman, please report to the Chamber. Senator Wesely, you asked for a roll call? Senator Haberman is on his way.

SENATOR WESELY: Go ahead.

SPEAKER BARRETT: We will proceed then. (Gavel.) Roll call has been requested on the adoption of the divided Wesely amendment. Mr. Clerk, proceed.

CLERK: (Roll call vote taken. See pages 1957-58 of the Legislative Journal.) 15 ayes, 26 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The motion fails. The call is raised. Back to the second part of the divided question, Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. Obviously, I am disappointed in that vote but hopefully that will give us a chance to reconsider that issue in a short period, but let me go to the second part of this amendment and it deals with, besides the issue of Bergan Mercy, the issue of the list, and what should or shouldn't be included. If we would have adopted that slight decrease in thresholds, we would have essentially been able to cover most of the capital and equipment expenditures that have concerned us about their cost. The list that we had originally considered on Select File included a number of items such as magnetic resonance imaging, the PET scanners, the therapeutic radiology, the shock wave, and a number of things that are very expensive, and so with the threshold reduction we hopefully would have adopted in the last amendment, we could have covered a lot of those expensive pieces of equipment, and not had to include them on the list. What remains on the list, and what I still think ought to be adopted, are those types of services that may not meet a high threshold of cost but are expensive in some ways and do add the question of quality to the