

dealing with this particular issue of the thresholds and the list, I have, I think, two or three other amendments that deal with the same topic. We don't need to get into those. That what would follow would be the amendment that would deal with the question of morbidity and financial data, and once we deal with that issue, then I would be done and I do not plan at all to go through all those amendments. I think that is a reasonable effort on my part to cooperate and I would ask again for your consideration and support of these amendments. The levels of 750,000 and 900,000 I don't think are at all asking too much. As we talked before, I certainly wanted them lower than that, but in my estimation, it is a good faith effort on your part to be reasonable, and I accept those, and I adjusted amendments that I had drafted to reflect those exact figures. If you look at some of the other amendments I have pending, they are much lower than that, but I don't plan to pursue those, if I can get some agreement at this point on these figures, and then the last remaining issues would be the list, and what is on it, or if there is a list, and then the question of what statistical data would be, and that is really what remains to be fought over on this bill. So, again, I would ask your reconsideration and your support for that amendment, and I'd give my time to Senator Baack.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Baack.

SENATOR BAACK: Yes, Senator Wesely, so what you are telling me is if I agree to these numbers and agree to these thresholds, then are we still going to have to consider the idea of a list? Are we still going to go through with the second part of this amendment?

SENATOR WESELY: Well, yeah, it is a part of the amendment, so, yeah, I think that when we talked before, I wanted to try and address still the list, although if you would look at the list, it doesn't include the equipment. It only includes the service issue, and it doesn't include Bergan Mercy at this point.

SENATOR BAACK: Well, I think that I am going to stick with my original position. I think I am going to oppose this amendment and stick with the numbers that are in the bill right now. I think that we have a very reasonable bill in 429, as we amended it on General File, and I think that we have tried to come up with a compromise here. I just feel like we just haven't quite reached the point where we can accept a compromise at this