

face the reality that we're going to have to increase the tax somewhere for substantial long term property tax relief. I withdraw the amendment, basically, on one consideration and that is, hopefully, that the body will reconsider putting...making it a two-year function because, at least, if the people taste what it's going to be for two years, then we will be forced to...if the funds are not available, we will be forced to find a funding mechanism. We will be forced to look at the tax and the people will have even a bigger impression on us because they have had it for two years. Doing it for one year will not give the proper hammer for us to address that issue. Two years would do so. And, as Senator Lamb said, doesn't make too much difference. So let's go ahead and make it the two-year on the reconsideration motion pending. If the revenues aren't there, we'll have to find the funds for that and we'll do so and then we'll move the bill. I withdraw my amendment at this time.

PRESIDENT: It is withdrawn. Mr. Clerk, do you have something new?

CLERK: Mr. President, items for the record.

PRESIDENT: Okay.

CLERK: I have amendments to be printed to LB 813 by Senator Hall and others. (See page 1914 of the Legislative Journal.) Senator Schmit has amendments to LB 813. (See page 1914 of the Legislative Journal.) Senator Ashford to LB 588; Senator Wesely to LB 429. (See pages 1914-26 of the Legislative Journal.)

Mr. President, Senator Haberman would move to reconsider the adoption of the Lamb, Chizek, Moore and Hall amendment to LB 84.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Haberman, please.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President and members of the body, in no way am I criticizing the work of the Appropriations Committee. I am not doing that. However, I would like to bring to your attention that the Appropriations Committee has approved a \$1,093,000,000 budget for '89 and '90. For '90 and '91, they have approved a \$1,170,000,000 budget. That's a two-year budget for all of the state agencies and evidently all of the members of the Appropriations Committee feel that that money is going to be there. So I guess it kind of bothers me a little bit to have a member of the Appropriations Committee get up and say, hey,