

April 25, 1989

LB 84

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then why would you not object to a division of the question, if it could result in the reconsideration?

SENATOR WITHEM: I don't know why you would not, if it were your motion that was being divided.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'm asking you. You had said you would not object to a division of the question, so I'm asking...

SENATOR WITHEM: I said it would...I thought you asked me is it possible to divide such a question, and I believe you can.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. Would you object to a division of the question?

SENATOR WITHEM: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Members of the Legislature, I think Senator Withem sees where he has placed himself. If you can arrive at a reconsideration, what he would call a reconsideration, through a division of the question, and you're not out of order to do it that way, then how you going to be out of order to get to that same point by offering a straightforward amendment to do what a division of the question would do? That's what you're being asked to go for, if you uphold the Chair. Defeat the Chair so that we can offer an amendment to any amendment that is up there. And then, if you don't like the amendment, vote it down. But let's not allow our rules to be written in an ad hoc fashion.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. The question is, shall the Chair be overruled? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Requires 24 votes. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I'll ask for a call of the house and a roll call vote.

PRESIDENT: Okay. The question is, shall the house go under call? All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, Mr. Clerk, please.

CLERK: 13 ayes, 1 nay to go under call, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The house is under call. Please record your