

the University of Nebraska goes from 198 million to 206 million, then they go from 206 million to 210 million. So, if you cut them back just 5 percent, you would have money to fund LB 84. Now let's go up to the Game and Parks. They're going to spend \$7 million a year for two years. We could cut them a little bit. So we go up to Social Services, it goes from 171 million to 200 million, to 211 million. Now they'll tell you that the federal government is taking money away from us, that's why we have to raise it. Check it out. See where the money is going. Then we go up here to institutions. They're going 73 million, 75 million, then 77 million. Now we only want 94 million for one year, we've already spent three times that much in one year. So now we go up to education, it goes from 209 million to 220 million, that's a \$20 million increase. So what I'm trying to say is the money will be there, it will be there as much for these programs as it would be for property tax relief. I'm willing to roll the dice and say, hey, if the money isn't there for property tax relief then how is the money here for all the rest of these increases? And I will ask Senator Moore, I'll ask Senator Lamb, I'll ask anybody else to stand up and explain to me why they won't roll the dice on property tax relief, but they sure want to raise everything else which, folks, the taxpayer is going to pay for. So not only aren't we going to give him property tax relief, we're going to raise his taxes, sooner or later, to pay for the appropriations budget we have. Now Senator Chizek over here, Senator Chizek, you should vote for this amendment, you should go for the two years and give your people some property tax relief for two years. Don't be politically afraid to vote no. I'm going to ask you to vote yes. So my point is we're going to take another vote on this, we had 27 people accept the one-year lid. This amendment puts it back on the two-year program. Then after we adopt the rest of the budget let's take a look and see if the money is going to be there. What we're doing is we're putting into concrete the acceptance of the spending of all the rest of this money, but we're saying oh, no, property tax people, you can't have any relief because we've got to spend this money back here. So that is where I'm coming from. I think the money is there, if it's there for everything else, it's there for this. So I ask you to support the amendment to the amendment which says it's for two years, it's for two years instead of one year. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Thank you. Senator Chizek, please. Did you call the question? I misunderstood.