

SENATOR LAMB: Yes.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Okay, thank you. Now you heard the explanation that, in Senator Lamb's opinion, he did not ask for the one year due to the possibility of having to have a tax increase to fund it. You also heard Senator Lamb say words to the effect, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, Senator Lamb, that money has come in since the original proposal to fund a two-year property tax relief. So the money is there. So I guess I would then have to ask Senator Moore a question. Senator Moore, the reason you are supporting the amendment is due to the possibility of a sales tax increase to fund it?

SENATOR MOORE: Got to do one or the other, I will do either...well, I will vote for the tax increase.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Pardon?

SENATOR MOORE: I will vote for the tax increase.

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, but you supported a one-year, I'm talking about the one-year funding.

SENATOR MOORE: You've got the money to do that without a tax increase.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Is there more money that has come into the state coffers, is the money in the state coffers now to fund it for two years? Let me put it that way.

SENATOR MOORE: In my opinion, no.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Taking into consideration spending money for what? All the appropriations bills?

SENATOR MOORE: Well, I guess, Rex, you're absolutely correct. If you did nothing else, yes, you probably could fund it for two years.

SENATOR HABERMAN: No, I...

SENATOR MOORE: I don't think that's reasonable.

SENATOR HABERMAN: If the appropriations bills and all the other