guess. There isn't the slightest doubt in my mind, if LB 84 is enacted, it will, in fact, have stability, it will be funded next year, and I don't think you can change it. I don't think you could refuse to fund it. Actually, I have an amendment up there that was to delay the effect of it until July 1990, one year before LB 611 is to be providing the information to solve the school finance question. Now if we need a temporary solution, which some have suggested waiting for 611, which by the way once we start talking income tax increases at the level that that will have, I suspect that you will find some public interest in that that will not be positive. But that is another day and two years away. But it would make more sense to me then to support a stopgap, if that's what it is, a refund of the collections that exceeded expectations in November of this year, and then put LB 84 in the next year. The one problem I really have with that bill that no one, at least to my satisfaction or understanding at least, has answered, deals with the cap, not the dollar amount. I haven't the vaguest idea, nor have I found anyone who could define to me what the definition of the economic entity that is to be capped, I just plain don't know and I doubt that anybody else knows. Though I've indicated before, I'm not much interested in trying to explain to a group of constituents who may be organized in a variety of ways why they are subject to a cap when somebody else that is organized differently, may be family operations, why somebody else who is organized differently does qualify. And you can say, well, that is no big problem, you can adjust. No problem (inaudible) don't cost much, as a matter of fact, change title on real estate. But at least in agriculture it's not that simple anymore, because you also have ASC with a bunch of rules and regulations that you have to live with. And you will find, I suspect, interpretations through that you're going to be putting unreasonable caps on some operations, some businesses, which makes no sense, but that time will tell. If the implementation was delayed a year, with the authorization to establish rules and regs and you had a chance to know how those provisions were being interpreted, why then it would seem at least you'd have some chance of explaining a yes vote on this bill, should those interpretations not be as you would hope. Finally, I also have a problem with the definition currently in statute on an owner-occupied residence. In the old days, when we used to have homestead exemption, it didn't really make much difference because ...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.