

amendment on. Right? It is the deflowered virgin of the season so far, I know that to be true. I'm going to offer the amendments to LB 279 so we can see that language without trying to put it in anybody's feet. All right? My suggestion is this. I've got a preference of these two bills and they're the only ones before us. I'm going to vote for 84 with this amendment. I'm going to keep an eye out on the Attorney General's word because I have it from very clear sources, from the Governor, herself, among others, if a cap is allowable, she will support a cap. I support a cap. I would like to target this stuff. She has indicated the same thing. She thinks there is a constitutional requirement. In the event the Attorney General comes back, it seems to me that a cap form of the compromise is preferable to either 809 or 84, no matter whose home you find to put it on. I think we ought to have the language...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...see if we can't get it in the Journal today. I'm going to vote for this amendment which I think is very sound and I'm going to vote for this bill and I'm going to vote against LB 809 and I'm going to reserve for another day, as actually I think I heard the administration say that this was a question for another day, if the caps are constitutional, I think we ought to take a look at those caps and try to put them into the compromise bill and maybe adjust some of the numbers there, either the percentage or the homestead figure and make that one fly because it is a single program and more easily administrable. But this is a good idea and this is as far as significant property tax relief has ever gotten in the Legislature in 11 years that I have been here and I appreciate the chance that these four senators have given us to vote for this idea today.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, if you can, remember back to Friday morning at about eleven-thirty. Remember what we were talking about. We were talking about Senator Landis because at that time he had a pending motion to raise the sales tax to pay for the second year of LB 84. A variety of the gang of four got together and said, wait a second, maybe we can take care of the funding problem. If we make this a one-year bill, you have solved some of the funding problems. If you're only calling it one year instead of a two-year bill, you're not