

\$94 million in LB 84. Now, I'm not opposed to increasing the amount of property tax relief. However, I have to be practical about that and try to make an estimate as to what will be and can be passed by this body, so that is one of the reasons that we are sticking with the bill. Now, there has been much talk about the cap and, you know, frankly, I don't like the cap too well at all but I also think that that may be necessary in order to get the bill passed in this body. Now, I think the Governor's position has been made clear that she would also support a cap if it was constitutional. Now, there is a...some people are saying that there may be a constitutional problem with the cap. I don't know if there is or not but, absent an Attorney General's Opinion, I am going on the assumption there is not a problem with the cap. The Governor is convinced that there is a problem with the cap and that's...that's perfectly okay and it's my understanding that if there is no constitutional problem with the cap, that she would...she would have no objection to the cap. I think the Governor's proposal, the new proposal, could and should be before this body, whether or not it's attached eventually to LB 84. LB 809 is following right along. I understand that will not be proposed for that bill but that bill is only on General File and if there is a decision to pursue the Governor's recent proposal, that certainly would be a logical place to put it, or it could be attached to LB 84 if there is some reason that LB 84 has to be brought back for further amendment. So that's kind of where we sit right now. We would like to see the bill advance today and go from there and when LB 809 comes up later, I hope today, I don't know if it will make it today but when it does come up I will be also supportive of that so that we can have these proposals before us and not close off any avenues that should be open. So we are debating at this point, technically, the amendment which would require a one-year sunset on the bill rather than the current two...two years. So I would ask that the amendment be adopted.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Thank you. Discussion on the Lamb and others amendment, Senator Landis, followed by Senators Moore and Schmit.

**SENATOR LANDIS:** Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, going to vote for this amendment, going to vote for LB 84. Doesn't mean that I have settled on this as the form for the issue that I intend to support this year but I guess a couple of words. First, I think we ought to thank the four senators who