

made, I have found that no attempt to draw an evenhanded accommodation can be drawn. Just as it might be reasonable to have credentials, if those credentials are only on one side of the issue, they are not evenhanded and fair, but that is exactly what Senator Labeledz has argued for in the past. My guess is this right to know will be unsatisfactory. Time will tell. We will find out here in a moment. I could be stunned and amazed...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...when the Lynch amendment is adopted by acclamation, but the notion of the right to know really is only the right to learn a particular point of view, and that particular point of view is the one that undergirds this national strategy of denying a constitutional right of privacy to women and women's bodies. That is why I am going to oppose 769 because all it simply is is one of a never-ending list of harassing, of demands meant to make sure that that issue remains alive, viable, politically hot, that it winds up being, well, ultimately a victory for the point of view that Senator Labeledz has. I don't share it, and when it occurs in this situation, I intend to express my opposition to that goal. I intend to support the Lynch amendment. I will be fascinated to see the debate from this point out.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Labeledz, followed by Senator Ashford.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Mr. President. I believe, Senator Landis, you were on the wrong amendment. The right to know is the next amendment which is 1468. Let me explain what 1469 does. It requires the clerk of each court to maintain copies of a written statement providing information regarding the procedures for proceeding under Section 3, the judicial bypass. A copy of this statement shall be posted and copies must be made available so that members of the general public can obtain a copy of the statement. The courts shall also provide a copy of this statement to the Department of Health. The department, in turn, is to send a copy of the statement to all physicians, hospitals in Nebraska, who shall make copies of the statement available upon request. I oppose this amendment very strongly. It is inappropriate and it is absolutely unnecessary. There is no doubt in my mind that the physicians who perform the abortions will clearly know what the law regarding parental notification is. It affects what they do every day. It is