

personally. I'd just as soon have the bill on Final Reading. There are bills on Final Reading that need technical adjustment or they need a conceptual readjustment, such as Senator Scofield suggests with her language. I would profit by some time to analyze that as well. If they are successful, they take exactly the same amount of effort, 25 votes, to be accepted. In the event Senator Warner's amendment merely places state bonds and the MIRF bonds, the MIRF authority, on relatively equal and acceptable footing for the assumption of bonding obligations, I think we are going to have an easy time of it come Final Reading to adopt that language and I will be happy to share in that. On the question of the Scofield amendment, the time will be there for us to review her language. She has given us good notice now, and it will be available to do that as well on Final Reading, certainly at the same time the Warner amendment comes up for discussion. I do think that bills ought to come up, slog through them and move along because there is a refinement process here. I would just as soon not try to get to some state in which a bunch of bills on Select File are linked together, and I would just as soon break those free and let them rise or fall on their own merits. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: I move to adjourn.

SPEAKER BARRETT: A machine vote has been requested. Mr. Clerk, anything for the record?

CLERK: Mr. President, one item, amendments to be printed to LB 603, and that is all that I have. (See page 1882 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. The question is adjournment for the day. Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 10 ayes, 15 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to adjourn.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Back to the discussion of the advancement of LB 683, Senator Scofield, followed by Senator Landis. Senator Scofield.

SENATOR SCOFIELD: Mr. President and members, I simply rise to