

independent search with bond counsel, Kutak, Rock on 683's amended language from Senator Warner. In the event it does nothing to affect the well-being of the concept of the bill to allow a stream of money against which municipalities can bond for infrastructure, if, in fact, it simply makes the same notion that the state obligations feel the same sense of priority, at least the preexisting ones, I will myself offer the motion and the language to bring it back from Final Reading. We are in a river that moves here, and if your craft is hung up at the dock while all the rest of the crafts go forward, we come down to those last days, and it is a difficult thing to get your bill floating. Now I'll tell you what I want to do. I'll be happy to live with this suggestion if, in fact, it doesn't undo my bill unknowingly or unwittingly on any of our parts, but I need a little time to do that. I don't want to sacrifice the chance to test the will of the body whether this is a spending priority measure. We have a refinement process here. It has three stages, not two. We are not passing this bill, but just like every other tough piece on this green sheet, we have gone through it today slogging through the best we can. Time to slog through this one. Let's take up the indefinitely postponement motion, if it is going to be here. Let's defeat that motion, let's send the bill on, and I, personally, will acknowledge that this amendment is available, it will be printed, and I will forthwith seek legal counsel in an analysis of it as to see its affect on 683.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Crosby, discussion on the IPP motion, followed by Senator Wesely.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will vote against the IPP motion, of course, because I do believe in the bill, but what I wanted to say is this. I did not vote on Senator Warner's amendment for two reasons. First, I don't feel that I am expertise enough in the bonding field and I, of course, respect the Kutak, Rock firm. Bob Kutak, himself, who is now deceased, was known nationally, perhaps internationally, in that kind of law, but when Senator Jerome Warner whom I have watched all through his career and knew him and his family, and when he stands up here and says that we are not getting the straight word from the people who are behind this bill, then I listen because I do respect him and I don't think he goes off, and I just don't know when I have ever heard him say anything like that on the floor of the Legislature. Even though I haven't been here, I have been paying attention. So I will vote against