

SENATOR KORSHOJ: You don't think it will harm the bill any, that...

SENATOR HEFNER: No, I don't.

SENATOR KORSHOJ: Okay, thank you. That is all.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Morrissey.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Yes, Mr. Speaker and members, thank you. Senator Hefner, I've got a couple of quick questions too. I hadn't seen this amendment and it doesn't look to be too much of a problem. You stated that you stated early on we don't want to run anyone out of business. Now it's not Procter and Gamble that we're looking to run out of business, is it by...are they worried they might go under if we do this?

SENATOR HEFNER: They didn't say that. They just said that they were having...they were having problems with the way the bill was drafted and so I agreed to meet with them, and I said if we could come up with wording that would help them and wouldn't hurt the bill too much, and I don't think it does, certainly it delays the implementation nine or 10 months but I don't think that's too bad.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Did they...

SENATOR HEFNER: I don't have any problem with the definition of "quality".

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Did they state a specific reason for having to delay this nine or 10 months or is that so they could get their technology in line so they would be ready to jump in the market when we're ready?

SENATOR HEFNER: This was one concern that they needed a little more time. They didn't feel that they did have the technology to produce a good quality disposable degradable diaper, and before they went on the market with one, well, they wanted to be sure that it was the one that they wanted to put on the market.

SENATOR MORRISSEY: Okay, and the determination of quality shall include a study of environmental impact and fate of such disposable diapers. Did they elaborate on their concerns with