

April 21, 1989

LB 739

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay. And the 38,000, I'm sorry, what was that?

SENATOR MCFARLAND: That was for head of household, 38,000. I'm not...

SENATOR PIRSCH: What difference is that than married? They would all have children.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: I think that's for a married person with...that is the head of a household without any spouse, you know, widow, that type of thing.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay, would this be then if the spouse was not working?

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Yes, it could be, I think. I'm not 100 percent sure, but I think that's right.

SENATOR PIRSCH: Okay. Of course one of the things that we're doing in child care is we are subsidizing working parents, which is fine, and as Senator Crosby said that's probably the wave of the future. But I do have some hesitation at rewarding the institutionalization of our children, and that is one of the reasons that I think maybe we could put on this cap is that those who have to work, we will give them some care or some subsidy with their dependent child care, and actually I hate to give incentive at all to keep parents out of the home and to reward them for leaving their children in institutions. But I do support this amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Question.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Question has been called. Five hands, please. I do. Shall debate cease? Those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record, please.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays to cease debate, Mr. President.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Debate ceases. Senator Wesely, or Senator McFarland. Senator McFarland, any closing statement?

SENATOR MCFARLAND: Yes, I think Senator Hannibal does make a