April 20, 1989 LB 247

This is the one you printed yesterday in the Journal, Senator.

SPEAKER BARRETT: It's withdrawn.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Wehrbein would move to amend. (Wehrbein amendment is printed on page 1805 of the Legislative Journal.)

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President and members. SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, Mr. President and members. This new amendment has been passed out and, is on your desk, to replace the one that I withdrew. It is basically the same as the one that was published in the Journal, except it changed a word that was incorrectly typed on the one that is printed in the Journal. And so the one that is accurate is on your desk, it's AM1457. This simply has the wording in it that I think was probably, I mean I admit I'm reading your minds, but it's probably in many of your minds as we amended the Kearney bill into the study, into LB 247. And this wording simply says that we will revisit the issue, that the Legislature will revisit this issue in January, after the study is completed by the contractor, and that the ... what is revealed in the study will be considered by the Legislature for action at that time. I think most of us realize that we could have revisited this issue without these words in law. But this simply calls it to our attention that it is our intent to look at it, which I think obviously would have been done anyway, and moves forward from that point. So that if, in fact, there are some flags raised in this issue, that we at least consider them, if not, follow through on them. I think it is logical that we consider this as part of the study that the...not only the contractor but the committee would look at this. It refers to...there will be another amendment in a little bit enlarging the scope of the Advisory Committee. But I think that this makes sense to call our attention to this issue, to assure those that are interested in this issue that we will look at it, that Kearney is not necessarily an automatic, that it will be examined and reviewed and that it is not...if the study is not consistent with what the intent would be of bringing Kearney in then we would definitely look at it and react at that time with the facts as they would be presented. So I would urge adoption of this committee (sic), recognizing the fact that I think I'd like to express the intent that this would be a study that will include not only the University of Nebraska and Kearney, but all of the state colleges, Wayne,

