which would not have otherwise been there. It is simply to take out what is believed to be repetitive wording. The second part of the amendment would change the word "account" to "property" in one section of the bill, and the reason for that is that an account could include several pieces of property which may have been leased at several different times. The third part of the bill changes the term "lessee's dwelling" to "place designated by the consumer" for the reason that the piece of property may not go to the lessee's dwelling. It could go, if the consumer wants it to, it could go to a brother's house, a sister's house, mother's, father's, daughter's, son's, wherever the consumer designates, and that is to make that clear as far as where that goes. And the final change is that there were...you know, when we drafted the bill, we inadvertently left out three sections in the enforcement provisions, two sections...two or three sections were inadvertently left out in the enforcement. Again, since that was considered a substantive amendment, $E \& R$ felt that it should go, and I agree that it should go through on the floor. So with that, I would urge adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Discussion on the motion? Discussion on $e$ the Lindsay amendment to 681 . Seeing none, those in favor of the amendment please vote aye, opposed nay. Flease record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on adoption of Senator Lindsay's amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: The amendment is adopted.
CLERK: I have nothing further on the bill, Mr. President.
SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Lindsay.
SENATOR LINDSAY: Mr. President, I would move that LB 681 as amended be advanced to $E \& R$ Final.

SPEAKER RARRETT: Shall 681 as amended be advanced? All in favor say aye. Opposed no. Carried. LB 78.

CLERK: Mr. President, LB 78, I have $E \& R$ amendments, first of all.

