

SENATOR GOODRICH: I kind of suspected you might. Well, Mr. President and members of the body, if you really want to solve the support for '89, here's the way to do it. Just add...in other words, we're at 20 million right now for this coming year and the following year, we are...this would add 20 million this year to the bill which would make it a total of 40 million this year, with 20 million of it distributed under the Conway amendment and 20 million distributed under equalization foundation. That will get you the support of the whole body, I think, and for LB 89. I would move that amendment.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Discussion on the Goodrich amendment to the Lamb amendment. Senator Lynch, please, I wasn't aware that that amendment was on the desk. Would you like to speak to the amendment to the amendment?

SENATOR LYNCH: Lord have mercy. I came to the meeting, Mr. President and members, with an empty sack and now it's almost half full. I haven't talked much on all of these issues. I appreciate Senator Goodrich's drawing attention to a resolution of the problem. However, to be completely frank, Senator Goodrich, and in all good faith, when we met this morning to talk about a resolution to this issue there was no intention, first of all,...and I'm talking directly to your amendment, by the way, Mr. President and members, there was no intention to leave Senator Lamb out. It was apparently a mistake to do that but I want to point out to you that the only people that were invited...it was Senator Withem's party, were those who had amendments and the purpose of the meeting was to try to work out some kind of a resolution and, obviously, it worked fairly well until these additional amendments were developed. I had my light on to talk against the Lamb amendment, for a lot of reasons. You cause us some quandary but what I think, in supporting your amendment, Senator Goodrich, would do would be to break and violate the trust, I think, and the agreement that we had this morning on the 20 million. I support, obviously, more than the 20 million, but I have to deal with the possible rather than from a wish list is all. I want to first point out that, as it applies to state aid, you know, there is \$133 million requested for this year and for next year; apparently no request in general aid to education increases. But generally and traditionally about half of that, about \$62 million is, in fact, earmarked money. So in case you assume when you listen to Senator Lamb that the school boards have