a cleaner fashion rather than going in and picking away at each one of his provisions within his amendment. So, at that point, I would venture to say that I'm really not taking out Senator Lynch's language, I'm supplementing it and for precision within the bill drafting department it allowed them to make it easier by striking. But I would have to go back and walk through the amendment to make sure that's the case.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Conway. We are speaking on overruling the Chair and I have several lights on. Senator Nelson, would you like to speak to germaneness of Senator Conway's amendment?

SENATOR NELSON: No, I will just leave my light on.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Withem.

SENATOR WITHEM: Yes, Madam Chair, I would like to, as best as I can, support the Chair's ruling. I have troubles because...and I might ask Senator Conway a question if he would respond. Senator Conway...

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Conway.

SENATOR WITHEM: ...do we have an actual copy of amendment 1434 on our desk? All I see is an explanation.

SENATOR CONWAY: No, you don't. I can get a copy.

SENATOR WITHEM: I would suggest then maybe that beings we...the body doesn't even have the amendment on the floor that we would be incredibly remiss in taking an extreme action like overriding the Chair when we don't even physically see the amendment. That's no criticism of Senator Conway. I can see what...his explanation of it but I can't relate to it as it fits into the Lynch amendment. As I understand the Lynch amendment, and here it is, the Lynch amendment 1377 on page 1732, all it does is strike numbers, basically, and change...the basic intent of it is to lower the appropriation from 40 to 25 is the main purpose of his amendment. What Senator Conway's amendment does goes to another purpose. So I think the Chair should be sustained. I would also, I guess I would like to just comment, cheat just a little bit here maybe on the time that I have and comment just a little bit on a procedure that I would suggest to the body that we follow. We have really got two main points here, or three I