done, and find holes with that report. The truth of the matter is that people who have no control over the study, people who don't have the kind of expertise to sponsor their own study, don't believe the "neutral experts". They don't do it, and you can find that in this controversy true, too. And what the Harvard negotiating project says is you know what you ought to do, you ought to take that prejudice, that bias, into account because there are scientists out there, hand them over the money, and let them go do their own darn study. Let them find out what they would say, what the scientist that they have some proof in, tell them it is so. And until you do that, you won't get them to believe a "neutral source", because, number one, they don't see them as neutral and, number two, in fact, science is not neutral. Science depends on what tests you do towards what end to what costs and with what assumptions. Morrissey suggests you turn the money over to the monitoring committee, and my point to you is this. You cannot compel from above the credibility and belief and good faith and acceptance of the local people until you give them the means to decide for themselves, and that includes having a scientist on their side and that includes having tests run that they think ought to be run. You take a look at those environmental impact statements. Have they brought an end to environmental lawsuits in federal court? They have not. Now what was that environmental impact statement supposed to do? It was supposed to answer everybody's questions. Does it? No, it doesn't.

PRESIDENT: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: The people who feel affected do not believe the "neutral scientific study". They just don't. Learn from experience. Hand these means over to the people who matter, let them make their own findings, their own studies, their own monitoring. Without it, you will not have an end to the controversy. You will have spent your money but you will have spent your money on a document that will have no more force to persuade them as to what is right or wrong than our tax study did last year. Now I just suggest to you, you can spend this money, pick the side you want to pick, and pick the lobbying force you want to pick, but if you want to take a look at the study of public facility siting that has been done, the foremost study, it says until you give the means of study to the people who are affected, they won't believe anything else.

PRESIDENT: Time.