

10 percent, I believe, of the children are rural. So we support 10 percent of the children with 50 percent of the valuation. We think that is not equitable and we are trying to change that and blood has been all over this floor many times, some of it mine, because of the attempts we have made to try to resolve that problem. Unless we resolve this problem and we state specifically, state specifically what we are doing, and I am not sure this language is correct, but I think you ought to think about it, and I will accept amendments to this, clarifying amendments, improving amendments, any other kind of amendment you want to call it but, ladies and gentlemen, the amendment ought to do what we want it to do. We should not leave it up to the conjecture of the public what we are trying to do. The public thought they did what we wanted them to do once before. The courts said, no, that wasn't right. Senator Hall says if you put this kind of language in there, you define it specifically, it won't pass and that may be true. I do not know if it will pass if it is cloaked in subterfuge. But, ladies and gentlemen, the last thing I want to do is to stand before the Supreme Court and say, well, you know, yeah, we were a little vague. We were a little vague because Senator Hall said, if you make it specific, they will look at like the 662 amendment that Schmit tied onto another school deal that went down the drain. I still believe that you have got to be specific. This language gives you a variation. Maybe it ought to be different. Maybe it ought to describe something different than the way we do here. There are all kinds of people here who want to hurry the process along. Ladies and gentlemen, never hurry yourselves into a trap. Hurry yourself out if you can, but don't hurry yourself into a trap, and be sure you know what you are doing. I don't know what I am doing but I am only 60 years old and I am free and willing to admit it. I am not willing to take the advice of my intelligent 25 and 30-year-old attorneys around here who have never drawn a tax bill, who have never yet seen one be successful. Ask yourself, ladies and gentlemen, have we done anything right in the five years we have tussled over this? Not yet. That is why we are back here. If you don't learn from history, you are going to repeat it again, and it will be too late, ladies and gentlemen, ten years from now. It will be too late to come back to this body and say, well, golly, we never intended, we never intended that anyone, that any Legislature would be so punitive, so mean, so dispirited that they would take it upon themselves to value ag land at more than commercial property. We are telling them, without this kind of language, that they can do so. And that this Legislature doesn't care,