

doesn't necessarily support me very much but the point is that he was right, and I don't know whether it was by accident or intent. Senator Haberman, I am sure it was by intent. But I will make you an offer, Senator Johnson, if you will add the language referring to, after horticultural land, that says "and within and between subclasses of such class.", I will accept your amendment and I will offer to withdraw mine. If that is acceptable to you, would you care to comment upon that? I think we need to define the language to the class and subclass. Can we do that yet?

PRESIDENT: Are you asking Senator Rod Johnson?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Yes, I would like to ask Senator Rod Johnson a question.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rod Johnson, would you respond, please?

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Yes, Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator, I would accept your amendment because I think it does...it answers some of my concerns on page 2, lines 19 to 25, if you will accept the language which will define it further, make it more definitive, and include the language after the word "land", add the words "and within and between subclasses of such class." I am not sure this exactly...

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Senator Schmit, I passed around a cleaned-up copy, I think it is on your desk, a printed copy for you to look at. It is better reading material than the one I had handed out before.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Okay.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: But, in any case, I think, and I have been asking several attorneys here today whether or not all property within a class of agricultural and horticultural land would include subclasses, and I have been told they would. Now, if you want to go a step further than that, I guess we can do that but I would suggest it is probably not necessary but I can talk to you with about it further. We can take up your next amendment, and in the meantime, work on that language because I know you have a second amendment coming up.