

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit, would you respond, please.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: I am assuming that this is trying to get at the issue of, if I was to raise a couple thousand acres of cauliflower and a couple thousand acres of peppers and got along very well and successful, you are concerned that then the assessor, or the state, in this case, would come in and raise the value of that land because it was so profitable and then, therefore, create a disparate value, in this case high, higher, is that...my assumption correct?

SENATOR SCHMIT: Senator, the Legislature has a license to do whatever they please under this amendment, and they can be much less restrictive or more restrictive than you have described depending upon the point of view of that particular Legislature.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But that is the issue you are trying to face here?

SENATOR SCHMIT: That is right.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: But that value could...those values could be picked out?

SENATOR SCHMIT: That is right.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay.

SENATOR SCHMIT: And you can say because the land is being used for cauliflower instead of corn, it would be taxed higher.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Because it is being used for a race track, it ought to be taxed for less, and because he trains horses out there, he has \$50,000 horses, thoroughbreds, it ought to be taxed more than Schmit's farm which breeds \$2,500 claimers. It is wide open.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Okay, thank you. I accept your premise on that. I have to say I believe that Senator Johnson's is one that I would accept better and I will tell you why. I am very bothered by line number four and five in AM1407, it is, and it says, requires that taxes be levied uniformly and proportionate