

pretty greedy in state government but we had ought not to be that greedy. So, therefore, I oppose the amendment.

**SPEAKER BARRETT:** Senator Hartnett, followed by Senator Smith.

**SENATOR HARTNETT:** Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I, also, oppose this amendment of Senator Smith, and I think I would also like to do what Senator Hall, because I have served on the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee and on the General Affairs Committee since I have come down here and we have dealt with these issues. And I want to congratulate Roger Hirsch and members of the Department of Revenue for their cooperation in bringing us a bill that is reasonable, I think, and it still allows the lottery to operate within Nebraska with some control. But I guess in my community, I am one of the communities that have had the lottery. It was voted by the people as it is in the Constitution, a vote of the people. It has been well run and that we simply use the money, the money in my community, we are a city of 35,000 people, we use, as it is in the state law, it has to be used for community betterment. What does the City of Bellevue use their money for? They use it to buy library books. We have a volunteer fire department. They use it to help the fire department. And so this is what they use the money for. It simply helps...we have given...we have talked about giving, the other day we advanced a bill that would help people in certain segments with their income tax. We did something with the schools, with Senator Moore's 611, and Senator Lamb with LB 84, but this is simply something that we can help the cities that have a lottery system going now to keep and use the money for community betterment. In fact, the figures I have from one state...in my city that the state actually got, fiscal 1988, got \$192,000 and the city only \$176,000. So I think, I also agree with Senator Hall, if the state needs more money for enforcement, they simply can raise that percentage from 30 percent up to 50 percent or 35 percent, like he is saying, for enforcement. So we are not trying to penalize them and we simply have to look because there are more communities going in but I think there has not been much oversight by the state as far as the lottery operation in my city. In fact, I think it was just this past year that we had someone from the Department of Revenue look at it. They simply have been taking the money. So I really think that the state's share at 2 percent is a reasonable, fair amount, and if it is not enough money, we have some other ways. I would also support the position of Senator Hall, to come back next year with