

there are always two ways to address the same thing. And depending on whether you're an advocate or an opponent, you put a good face on it...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...or, in this case, I, as an opponent, put an objective face on it. When you go ahead and pass this bill, and 1990 comes, and they show you the need for another appellate division, and its backlog gets heavy and they still are going to have some of theirs going to the Supreme Court, then you're going to need more judges for that appellate division. And that means additional salary, additional money into the judges' retirement system, additional backlogs, additional cases not being decided and you're on the roller coaster with that, like you're on the roller coaster with the salary increases. You were told when you tied all those judges' salaries together that you wouldn't be confronting what we're confronting now. Before one set of raises have even taken effect, they're coming in for very high raises. So now give them this temporary appellate division, as you call it, and before 1990...December of 1990 comes somebody is going to be in here with a bill to wipe out that deadline...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and they're going to get you to wipe out the deadline the same way they get you to put this thing in place in the first place. They need those extra judges, they'll say.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. You've heard the closing. The question is the indefinite postponement of LB 586. Those in favor of that motion vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I can really take it, but even I can read the lights on the board, so you can call the vote.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 3 ayes, 22 nays, Mr. President, on the motion to