

SENATOR MOORE: Mr. Speaker and members, last time I spoke on Senator Wesely's amendment, maybe I got a little mean. I apologize for that, but also on this amendment, two things I have to mention to Senator Wesely. I am just simply bothered by the fact that Senator Wesely has this giant heart to give all the money back and he still wants to spend it. Look at LB 360, a bill by Senator Wesely, on Final Reading, \$1.4 million; LB 362 by Senator Wesely, on ADC giving provisions on Select File \$2.4 million; 430, which is still in Appropriations Committee, what he keeps talking to me about, which is \$2.6 million; 431, that we kicked out the other day, that was the Morrill Hall bill, a million dollars; his change... his LB 678, \$2 million; LB 720, \$3.2 million. I mean I can go on and on about bills that Senator Wesely introduces, and yet he is saying that LB 739 isn't enough, he wants to give more back. Well, I hope that we defeat Senator Wesely's amendment, and one thing I do want to mention is Senator Withem then is going to accuse me of sticking with the Governor on this one. I hope you will remember that, the phrase that Senator Wesely often uses, Robin Hood in reverse, I said that about LB 773 two years ago. I voted against it. I didn't like it. I hope Senator Wesely voted against it, too. It is one of those things I am not usually accused of being a big spender. I think if Senator Wesely wants to have a giant heart, I am assuming that he wants to withdraw all of these bills that he is the principal introducer on.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Nelson.

SENATOR NELSON: Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I am not going to support this bill and I will tell you why, hopefully, in a very short term. It doesn't do enough for the ones that need it, and the ones that paid it in. It appeases a few people, sounds good, but the very minute amount or adjustments to these people, the child care is probably needed, but, again, there is a number of them that would get that credit that are maybe two income families and maybe it is needed and maybe it isn't needed. Remember, in LB 773, we took about 40,000 of the elderly off of the tax rolls, and of which they probably needed it in some of the cases. I can recall very clear when we talked about the top bracket, the \$45,000 and over, particularly, the comment was made that these are the people in the large corporations. I suppose Mike Harper caught it on this one. They need a bracket cut because they are the ones that call the shots, they are the ones that are going to say the corporations, whether they stay in Nebraska, or they are not going to stay in