

our system, but sometimes we're able to bring people together that would not otherwise have that opportunity. It's the Legislature taking this issue and trying to deal with it that I'm most proud of at this moment. I think ultimately, as Senator Conway talked about on the funding, there is no free lunches around here and we have many other priorities that we're looking at, and we're going to have to look at the funding issue related to this matter. Certainly on Select File I anticipate, and if not there on Final Reading we'll have to address the question of whether we can sustain and fund this matter and whether, in fact, it is the best solution to these problems. There may be other amendments that may be offered. But nevertheless it's urban and rural, it's Republican and Democrat, it's legislators working together to come to some conclusion on a very tough issue that we've struggled with for a long time that I'm very proud of and I wish, at this time, to just congratulate my colleagues who have worked on this and put the effort in. You've done a good job and we're at least heading down the road, which is a nice change of pace from all the other efforts that never even got off the ground and got started toward a solution to this problem.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, just to comment. The thought occurred to me, Senator Wesely said this starts us down the road. Then I look at all the sunset and I remember the old line, if you don't know where you're going, any road will get you there. And I have the feeling, with a couple of bills now being enacted, all of which sunset in 1992, ... I frankly am very uncomfortable with that, and I'm going to vote no. Now I also am uncomfortable on the funding, there is no way that I believe that you can sustain a program at this level without tax increase. Also no way do I believe that you can start a program like this and then just shut it off, because that won't happen. I think you have to plan to fund it on into the future, just the reality of it is very likely to necessitate that. Obviously those who feel that it can be funded, certainly there is no obligation to feel otherwise. You can... under the statutes the advisory board that does estimates, or what we officially use, what you accept however is what everyone chooses to use. I do not believe that this can be sustained under any event, and therefore would vote no. I have a feeling that we're providing false hopes because a lot of people out there have the perception apparently that these things can be done without any