

discriminate against Burlington, or why should we discriminate against ConAgra, for that matter, if property tax is unfair? Why do we want to try and limit property tax to corporations and then turn around, not turn around, and stand by a 775 proposal that gives tax credits to them for some kind of a "losery" job creation program and give it to them on the one hand, and take it away on the other. There is no consistency, there is no uniformity, there is no rationality about the whole tax system in the State of Nebraska, and this is just another example of how botched up the job can be done. This is going to be a botched up job again to try to do something to correct the flaws that are inherent in the tax system that we have currently in effect. Perfect example, we had an announcement in the paper yesterday about Goodyear. This was a corporation that we thought needed tax relief, this is a corporation that came in on 775 and then argued there should be a \$20 million investment provision to give them tax credit so that they could invest in the plant here in Lincoln...

SPEAKER BARRETT: One minute.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: ...and create jobs. What do we have in the paper yesterday? We have a proposal that unless the employees at Goodyear accept cut-backs they are going to...that Goodyear is going to reduce their overall employment by 300 jobs in the State of Nebraska, they're going to eliminate 300 jobs, at the same time Goodyear is receiving tax credits under 775. There is just no consistency in this overall tax policy in the State of Nebraska, and I suggest to you that this type of proposals, where a group of senators get together and hammer out something that is acceptable to all of them may make sense for that group, because each of them get a little piece of the action, but as far as the state as a whole and a consistent, coherent, simple, fair and easy to administer tax policy it doesn't fit the bill and it doesn't do the citizens of our state...

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time has expired.

SENATOR MCFARLAND: ...any good to have these type of proposals. Thank you.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Thank you. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members. I'd have a question or two for Senator Hall, I believe, since he's Chairman