available for the 1990 session if this base data is what you are going to use, if that is essential, and I might be a lot easier to make the decision if the information was available, but, nevertheless, if that is the reason we are doing it, then it, obviously, needs another year as just simple practical matter, and I would be in full support of Senator Haberman's motion to change that date in Section 5, or 4, rather, to January 1, 1992, and then in view of the time, I will pull my other amendment, Mr. Clerk, that I have pending.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Senator Moore, followed by Senator Elmer.

SENATOR MOORE: I guess I would have to ask Senator Warner a question to make sure that I am clear in my mind. You said if the Haberman amendment would be adopted, at least for the time being you would pull your amendment that would take away the total sunset on foundation and equalization, is that correct?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR MOORE: But you would reserve the right to come back at a later time and try that, I imagine, or...but you could live with the '92 date?

SENATOR WARNER: I don't feel like going with that amendment today.

SENATOR MOORE: Okay, that is fine. Well, obviously, if Senator Haberman's amendment is adopted, it won't be the end of the world and it will not be the end of LB 611. I happen to think though the facts are that for 20 years the Legislature has talked about doing something next year, talked about doing something next year, and talked about doing something after next campaign, and during the campaign, we always talk about doing something as soon as we get there. Like Senator Warner said, we always get elected on promises, and then we get reelected by breaking our promise, because if you actually do something, you are going to... I think you said that, Senator Warner, if not, correct me. A lot of times that is true because we are probably taking a big step, a big step, and I understand the hesitancy for doing that, but the fact remains that unless you have some sort of hammer out there, we are going to come back in here next year and we are going to postpone it again. And even with the amendment as it now reads, if Senator Haberman is correct and the session is as chaotic as he projects that it