SPEAKER BARRETT: Excuse me, time.

SENATOR MOORE: If I have the concept, we are going to work on the substance.

SPEAKER BARRETT: Time has expired. Senator Moore, your light is the next one. Perhaps you could help...

SENATOR MOORE: Yes.

SPEAKER BARRETT: You could answer the question further.

SENATOR MOORE:. I will ...

SPEAKER BARRETT: This is your time, Senator Moore.

SENATOR MOORE: I will (inaudible) our dialogue.

SPEAKER BARRETT: I am sorry. I am sorry. Senator Warner's light was next, then yours. Senator Warner, please, then back to Senator Moore.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I read the amendment and I intend to vote for the amendment, although I have filed another amendment to Senator Moore's amendment, which can be considered if his amendment is adopted. But if I am correct, Senator Moore, as I understand the bill now, it primarily will put into the statute the requirement as a proper filed income tax the inclusion of the school district of resident of the taxpayer. It is interesting when I look, this is at least the third time, if not the fourth or fifth, that this effort has been made. I see part of the stricken language on page 3 indicates commencing the taxing year 1971, the form shall have the designated school district, and that was a bill Senator Waldo and myself, I recall, Senator Bill introduced and I co-introduced it, but we thought we were putting that information on the income tax at that time, then I can recall once or twice after that others, and I would be curious... I have enough curiosity to pass the bill to see if this language really does what I thought we did in 1971, and maybe one more try might do it. So for that reason I will support it, but I do have an amendment that strikes Section 2 and it'd strike Section 5, which if adopted, I would like to discuss and that would be...one of them, Section 5 is where you repeal the current foundation and equalization aid in 1991.