

April 7, 1989

LB 247

made me very uncomfortable and I am finding myself to be in agreement with things that Senator Warner is trying to do more times than makes me feel comfortable, so I hope that Senator Warner has been observing me, listening to me, and coming over to the way I think and operate rather than vice versa. But in either case, I am supporting the attempt to suspend the rules. I am not even going to look at the merits of the question that the rules are being suspended for. I think this is a classic example of a Legislature having painted itself into a corner so that it is locked in tight and cannot effectively legislate on the matters that we were sent here to deal with. There are many instances, and there will be more as this session wears on when the very narrow construction of the germaneness rule that the Legislature, a majority of the Legislature, approved of because those early rulings were on issues that they did not want attached to a bill, we are now in the position of having to suspend the rules, when under logical and rational principles of legislating, it should not be necessary. We do not have a rules...a rule that relates to germaneness. We have a rule that shackles the legislative process. I, ever since coming down here, have been in favor of a liberal interpretation because not everything that would relate to a particular bill or even to the issues that we will consider during a session can be anticipated at the time bills are introduced. To have a germaneness rule interpreted as the one in the rule book is being interpreted is to require the Legislature, as a whole, and individual senators to anticipate every issue that might arise and offer a bill, an individual separate bill, to deal with each of those items. Even if you are not sure that you would want that brought up, the fact that the possibility is there will require from hence forward that individual bills be introduced at considerably more expense. I think it has been a mistake what the Legislature has done, and when we have to argue to suspend the rules, the argument, as always occurs, goes to the merits of the question that we are suspending the rules to consider. If the rules are considered, there will be a rechewing of the cud and the issue, itself, will be rediscussed, and all of that unnecessary wastage of time will be replicated again and again and again. I am going to support this rule suspension because I think the aim, forgetting the merits, again, of the particular question, is to allow the Legislature to consider the serious types of issues that we were sent here for. Our constituents did not elect us to be automatons, did not elect us to walk within a very narrow pathway, so that we can scarcely move to the right or to the left. When a bill is offered to this Legislature and it deals